- Cough for ~12 months
  - Morning through night
  - Non-productive
  - SOB while working
- Smoker (35yrs, 1 box/day over past year)
- Heavy alcohol use
- HIV negative 7/2011

### 58yoM with lung mass March 2011



- May 2011
  - Cough, slight chest pain
  - Saw private doctor

• Presented to A&E at PMH



#### PA CXR 9/2011



PA CXR 10/2011

- Diagnostic thoracentesis
  - Cell counts/chemistries not available
  - Cytology 9/2011):
    - Brownish fluid, 4.5ml
    - Pleural fluid shows innumerable mature small lymphocytes (lymphocytosis). Negative for malignant cells. The lymphocytosis is suggestive of tuberculosis.
- Started anti-TB therapy

- Referred to Bokamoso Private Hospital for CT, PMH CT scanner not working
  - Non-contrast chest CT November 2011



#### - Non-contrast chest CT November 2011



• Ultrasound guided FNA (1/2012)

# January 2012



























- Microscopy: Smear shows numerous poorly differentiated anaplastic epithelial cells. Some of them are forming glandular structures.
- Diagnosis: Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (?metastatic)

- Exam:
  - Appears well and cognitively intact
  - No lymphadenopathy
  - No abdominal mass
  - Lungs clear

# Locally Advanced Lung Cancer

Benjamin Falit, MD Kerry Massman, MD Botswana Multidisciplinary Tumor Board April 17, 2012

### Potentially Resectable Disease:

# Surgical Candidate or No?



### INT 0139: Surgery vs definitive chemoRT





### INT 0139: Results

PFS

OS



Albain et al, Lancet 2009

## Overall Survival with Lobectomy vs Pneumonectomy



\*155 Resections (3 wedge, 98 lobe, 34 pneumo) \*26% Mortality with pneumonectomy

# INT 0139: Conclusions

- No OS difference between definitive RT and surgery following induction chemoRT
- High rate of peri-operative mortality after pneumonectomy
- Best option may be trimodality therapy if lobectomy can be employed

Unresectable Disease: Definitive/Concurrent Chemo/RT

#### Sequential vs Concurrent Chemoradiation for Stage III Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer: Randomized Phase III Trial RTOG 9410

Walter J. Curran Jr, Rebecca Paulus, Corey J. Langer, Ritsuko Komaki, Jin S. Lee, Stephen Hauser, Benjamin Movsas, Todd Wasserman, Seth A. Rosenthal, Elizabeth Gore, Mitchell Machtay, William Sause, James D. Cox

Manuscript received June 14, 2010; revised July 22, 2011; accepted July 26, 2011.

Correspondence to: Walter J. Curran Jr, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology and Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, 1365C Clifton Rd, NE, Ste C4104, Atlanta, GA 30322 (e-mail: wcurran@emory.edu).

### J Natl Cancer Inst 2011; 103: 1452-1460

#### Concurrent vs Sequential Chemo/RT: RTOG 9410



### RTOG 9410: Outcomes

	Sequential CRT	Concurrent CRT QD	Concurrent CRT BID
Median Survival Times (mo)	14.6	17.0	15.6
Overall Survival (5yr)	10%	16%* (p=0.046)	13%
Response Rate	61%	70%*	65%
Complete Response Rate	30%	42%	33%

\* = statistically different compared to Arm 1

## RTOG 9410: Toxicity

	Sequential CRT	Concurrent CRT QD	Concurrent CRT BID
Acute esophagitis (grade 3+)	4%	22%* (p < 0.001)	45%*^ (p < 0.001)
Late Esophageal toxicity (grade 3+)	1%	3%	4%
Acute Pulmonary (grade 3+)	9%	4%	2%
Late Pulmonary (grade 3+)	15%	13%	17%

\* = statistically different compared to Arm 1^ = statistically different compared to arm 2

# RTOG 9410: Conclusions

- Concurrent chemo/RT with cisplatin-based chemo improves survival over sequential treatment
  - Worse acute toxicities but equivalent late toxicity
  - Benefit likely from radiosensitization of chemo
- QD radiation as good or better than BID
  - Radiosensitization benefit diminished by higher toxicity

#### Support for RTOG 9410: Furuse, JCO, 1999



### Furuse: Results

- Median OS 16.5 vs 13.3 months in concurrent and sequential arm
- No difference in Esophagitis



### **Radiation Delivery**







\*8 Grade 5 events in 74 Gy Arm vs.

4 events in 60 Gy arm

# RTOG 06-17: Other findings

- On MVA: decreased RT dose, increasing GTV size, and non-squamous histology associated with improved survival
- GTV sizes smaller in 74 Gy arm
  Potentially missing target in high-dose arm?
- More non-squamous in 60 Gy arm:

# **3D Simulation & Planning**

- CT scan in Radiation Oncology Department
- Patient set-up in treatment position = simulation: supine/flat, arms up, free-breathing, iv contrast



Tumor and normal tissues are outlined on CT scan



#### **Potential designs:**

- ► ~40 Gy AP/PA → 20 Gy off-cord obliques
- 4-fields throughout AP/PA/opposed obliques off cord to 39.6-45 Gy, then cone down

<u>Organs</u>	"Reasonable" dose constraints		
Lungs	<b>V20 = 35</b> -40% for both lungs* V5 = 45-65% <b>MLD &lt;= 20 Gy</b>		
Esophagus minimize V60 (10-30%) max 70 Gy			
Heart	Heart V40 ~ 40% Ieft ventricle V40=10%, max 50 Gy		
Spinal cor	rd max 45-50 Gy (consider margin)		
Brachial plexus max 60 Gy (66 Gy ok)			

\*lungs minus ITV, CTV, or PTV

# Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Staging

- History and physical examination
- Laboratory testing Complete blood count, Electrolytes, Calcium, ALP, Albumin, Alanine aminotransferase (ALT), Aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Total bilirubin, and Cr.
- Computed tomography (CT) of the chest with intravenous contrast
- CT plus PET
- Brain MRI

# Lymph Nodes (LN)



- NO: Zero LN Involve
- N1: Ipsilateral Hilar Lymph Nodes
- N2 Nodes: Ipsilateral mediastinal and/or subcarinal lymph nodes
- N3 Nodes: Contralateral mediastinal, contralateral hilar, supraclavicular, or scalene lymph nodes

Chest 2007: 132:178S

29%

# PET/CT Study



Figure 2. PET/CT study in a patient with non-small-cell lung cancer.

Nature Clinical Practice Oncology (2008) 5, 160-170

# Lymph Node Sampling



- Mediastinoscopy is a surgical procedure.
   Able to sample paratracheal, anterior subcarinal and bilateral hilar nodes
- Endobronchial ultrasound is less invasive. Able to sample paratracheal, subcarinal, and hilar lymph node stations

# Summary Regarding Staging

- History and Physical
- Labs
- CT with Contrast
- PET accuracy is 78% for initial staging. Has a negative predictive value of 90%. However, mediastinoscopy is gold standard.
- Mediastinoscopy upstages the patient 15% of time and downstages 5% of time. We generally offer a mediastinoscopy unless there is a small (< 2 cm) peripheral tumor.
- Staging is necessary to correctly identify the patient's clinical-diagnostic stage and potentially spare the patient from unnecessary treatments (radiation, surgery)

### Management of Stage III NSCLC



### What is Stage III?



# **Chemotherapy for Stage III Disease**

- Concurrent chest irradiation and cisplatin-based chemo
- EP50/50 Regimen is Etoposide and Cisplatin (Based on SWOG 8805 and 9019 studies)
- Etoposide 50 mg/m2 IV bolus days 1,2,3,4,5
- Cisplatin 50 mg/m2 days 1,8
- Premedication: Mannitol 25% 12.5 gm IV qD on d1,8 + Furosemide 20 mg IV on d1,8
- IV Hydration

Albain KS, SWOG 8805. *J Clin Oncol* 1995; 13: 1880–92. Albain KS, SWOG-9019. *J Clin Oncol* 2002; 20: 3454–60. Albain KS. *Lancet* 2009; 374: 379–86

## Side Effects

- Cisplatin (cross-links and interferes with the function of DNA) – Allergic reaction, Bone marrow suppression, GI toxicity, Ototoxicity, Nephrotoxicity
- Etoposide (topoisomerase II inhibitor which acts at the premitotic stage of cell division to inhibit DNA synthesis) – Allergic reaction, Bone marrow suppresion, Hepatoxcity, Acute leukemia (rare)

### Anti-emetic Regimen

- Anti-emetic Therapy Ondansetron 16 mg PO or IV Day 1,8 of each cycle
- Dexamethasone 12 mg PO or IV Day 1,8
- Aprepitant 125 mg PO qD followed by 80 mg on Day 2,3 each week
- Lorazepam .5-1 mg Q3 H PO or IV for nausea
- Home medications Ondansetron, Compazine, Decadron, and Ativan

### Induction with Weekly Carbo/Taxol



### Induction with Weekly Carbo/Taxol

#### CALGB 39801



### <u>Pemetrexed</u>

- Pemetrexed (antifolate that disrupts folate-dependent metabolic processes essential for cell replication) – skin reaction, GI distress, bone marrow suppression, fatigue, sensory neuropathy, renal failure, Acute Lung Injury
- Phase I data shows that pemetrexed is the 1<sup>st</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> generation chemotherapy possible to deliver at full dose with RT
- CALGB 30407 (Phase II study) evaluated combination of Carboplatin-Pemetrexed with 70 Gy (OS 22 mon)
- PROCLAIM (Phase III study) will shed light on the effectiveness of Cisplatin-Pemetrexed with 66 Gy

### CALGB 30407



# **Carboplatin-Pemetrexed-70Gy**



Median Overall Survival

Arm A 22 months (95% CI: 17-NA) Arm B 22 months (95% CI: 13-NA)

18 Month Overall Survival

Arm A 57% (95% Cl 44-75) Arm B 50% (95% Cl 37-68)

Grade 3-5 toxicty:

Esophagitis 30%

Pneumonitis 10%

Mortality 4% (pulmonary)

### **PROCLAIM Clinical Trial On-Going**

A Randomized Phase III Trial

